

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/771,416	01.	/26/2001	Daniel Pellerin	13493	6341	
7	590	03/21/2003				
SHELDON &	MAK		EXAMINER			
Suite 900 225 South Lake			MOHANDESI, JILA M			
Pasadena, CA 91101				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3728	3728	
				DATE MAILED: 03/21/2003	DATE MAILED: 03/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		IAAT-	
· · ·	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/771,416	PELLERIN, DANIEL	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jila M Mohandesi	3728	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 14 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a vinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application) a timely filed amendment whice	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in	
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	1
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officially filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the ma	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or	
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>19 March 2003</u>. Ap 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 			
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Sec	reconsideration has been cons e Continuation Sheet.	idered but does NOT place the	
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we 			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
0. Other:			_
	J. MOHANDESI PATENT EXAMINER	Jila M Mohandesi Examiner	
		Art Unit: 3728	



Continuation of 5. Does not place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavits and declarations submitted under 37 CFR 1.132 were submitted after the final rejection and since there was no new ground of rejection or requirement made in the final rejection, the affidavits and declarations filled after the final rejections were not timely and therefore not considered.

A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art.